

Contents

Introduction	15
I. Linguistic Phenomenology as the Proper Way to a Rational <i>Weltanschauung</i>	21
Preliminaries	21
Introduction: <i>Weltanschauung</i> and <i>Weltanschauung</i> discourse	22
A note on the relationship “the Reality – knowledge”	25
Some technical details	27
Justification of the linguistic-phenomenological approach to <i>Weltanschauung</i>	28
Speaker’s knowledge of what she says	33
Supplementary comments on the justification of the linguistic-phenomenological approach	37
An excursus	40
A historical comment	43
A critical comment on search for certainty: preliminaries	45
Substantiation of the critique of scepticism and of search for certainty	48
An additional argument against Radical Scepticism	52
An additional note on <i>cogito</i>	53
II. ‘Know that’ as the Central Relation Constituting the Reality	55
The choice of the key concept	55
A note on a (possible) controversy concerning the importance of the concept of knowledge	58
<i>Know, know that</i> : preliminary observations; <i>know that</i> and <i>know + Q</i>	60
<i>Know that</i> and <i>know + NP</i>	62
<i>Know that</i> and other elements of sentences based on it	64
<i>Know that</i> and <i>know about _ that</i>	65
The full form of the functor ‘know that’	69
The functor ‘know that’ as a semantic prime: a general statement and its main arguments	71
Certain special arguments for the primitive nature of ‘know that’	75
Primitiveness of ‘know that’: its behaviour in conditionals	77
Primitiveness of ‘know that’: its relationship to ‘truth’ and ‘falsity’. The main statements	79
Supplementary statements on the hierarchy: ‘know that’ – ‘falsity’ – ‘truth’	82
‘Knowledge that p’ is not “ability to use the fact that p as a reason in one’s acts”	86
The problem of “justification of belief” in knowledge	90
Provability of the primitive character of ‘know that’	93
Instantiation of ‘know that’ and the phenomenon of «iteration of ‘know that’» or of ‘knowing that someone knows that such and such’	98

A note on the relationship between ‘knowing that’ and ‘experience’	100
Iteration of ‘know that’ and “accessibility of other minds”	102
Non-coreferentiality in (immediate) iteration of ‘know that’ and single self-embedding of <i>know that</i> with one subject: preliminaries	104
Knowledge of one’s ignorance and ignorance of one’s ignorance	108
Non-coreferentiality in (immediate) iteration of ‘know that’ and single self-embedding of <i>know that</i> with one subject: a solution	110
Iteration of ‘know that’ and non-uniqueness of subjects of states of ‘knowing that’ ...	115
A summary of the basic properties of ‘know that’	118
A note on “universals” and “particulars”	122
Supplementary comments on the basic properties of ‘know that’: “aboutness”	124
Supplementary comments on the basic properties of ‘know that’: contrast	125
An application of the distinction <i>a does not know that vs. it is not the case that a knows that</i>	128
An additional comment on contrast	131
Supplementary comments on the basic properties of ‘know that’: infinity and finiteness aspects of knowledge	132
‘Know that’ and logical constants	136
III. Necessary Existence of Referents of the Prime ‘know that’ and Its Relationship to Other Concepts	140
The appearance of the relation ‘know that’ in all sentences: preliminary observations; the “synthetic <i>a priori</i> ”	140
Some reservations	143
An excursus on sentences with the prefix <i>for all I know / as far as I know</i>	145
Necessary existence of the relation ‘know that’: some further clues	147
The appearance of the relation ‘know that’ in all sentences: proof $[\varphi \Rightarrow \exists x K\varphi x]$	153
The appearance of the relation ‘know that’ in all sentences: proofs associated with Fitch’s paradox, or the Paradox of Knowability. Preliminaries	154
On what is knowable being known. The main statement	157
On what is knowable being known. Supplementary remarks	161
Substantiation of the reduction of ‘existence’ to ‘know that’: the effect of coreferential iteration of ‘know that’	163
Necessary existence of the relation ‘know that’: necessary existence of true sentences	165
An excursus on the Liar Paradox	169
Necessary existence of the relation ‘know that’: proofs of the impossibility of ‘no one knows anything’	171
Knowledge and existence; their relationship to necessity	174
An additional note on necessity of existence	178
Necessity: its nature and its varieties	181
Knowledge and speech: the non-necessary nature of language and speech	185
Knowledge, speech, existence: supplementary remarks	187
A note on ‘feel’ as a variety of ‘know that’	191
IV. Multiplicity Based on the Relations ‘know that’ and ‘did’ as Constitutive for the Reality. Subjects and Attributes	194
Introduction	194

Multiplicity of epistemic subjects	195
Conclusion	199
The problem of differentiation of epistemic subjects	200
Multiplicity of attributes: ‘know that’, ‘did’	202
A note on the relationship between ‘did’ and ‘causation’	204
‘Did’ and ‘know that’ in their mutual relationship: preliminaries	206
Knowledge as “gift”	210
Preliminaries for a discussion of ‘did’: problems of proportion	212
Preliminaries for a discussion of ‘did’: implications of proportions; hierarchy of states of affairs	215
Some linguistic clues for “multiplicity of subjects” and “hierarchy of states of affairs”	218
Irreducibility of ‘did’, or its nature of a primitive concept	221
Irreducibility of ‘did’: some further tests	224
Remarks on irreducibility of ‘did’ continued. Its radically non-spatial nature	225
Some further properties of ‘did’	229
A note on the structure of exponents of ‘did’	231
The importance of the category of action for the multiplicity aspect of the Reality ...	233
The constitutive role of ‘did’ in the realisation of multiplicity of epistemic subjects and objects. Preliminaries	236
An account of proper names. Guidelines	240
An account of agentive proper names. Fundamentals	242
An account of agentive proper names continued: some details of non-rhematisability of proper names	246
An additional note on the fundamentals of the adopted account of proper names ...	248
The formula for proper names of animate entities	250
Proper names and their shapes	253
Residual remarks on proper names and their shapes	257
Additional remarks on agentive proper names and on their present analysis	260
On some ramifications of usage in the field of agentive proper names	264
Proper names and personal identity	266
Closing statements on agentive proper names	271
Proper names of animate and inanimate objects	272
The formula for proper names of inanimate objects; residual comments on their category	274
A note on ascriptive onomastic nomenclature	276
 V. Multiplicity Based on the Relations ‘know that’ and ‘did’ as Constitutive for the Reality. Inanimate Objects	
Introduction	279
The fundamental pronouns: ‘someone’, ‘something’. Dualism vs. monism	281
More on the properties of the pronouns ‘someone’, ‘something’	284
The contradistinction of ‘someone’: ‘something’ as a genuine semantic phenomenon; its irreducibility to inflectional facts	287
Pluralism within the domain of inanimate objects: general remarks	291
Some conceptual details concerning multiplicity of inanimate objects	293

Main spatial relations of inanimate objects. Contact, distance	296
Main spatial relations of inanimate objects. Movement	299
Part - whole relation	301
VI. A Summarising Outline of the Basic Structure of the Reality. Theism	305
The schema of the basic structure of the Reality	305
A preliminary statement of theism	308
Theism: a note on the relevant arguments and counterarguments	310
Theism as an analytic claim based on the properties of ‘know that’	312
An excursus on the so-called ontological theistic argument	315
A closing statement on the ontological argument	319
Impossibility of the whole of knowledge being distributed among knowers/ignorants .	321
A note on states of affairs that are problematic objects of anyone’s knowledge.	
Counterfactuals	324
A global account of conditionals	326
Subjunctive conditionals, or counterfactuals, vs. indicative conditionals	330
A supplementary note on indicative conditionals	334
Deductions concerning the solution of the alternative of theism and atheism as an	
analytic support for the theistic claim: preliminaries	337
A deduction concerning the alternative of theism and atheism as allegedly having no	
known solution	339
A deduction concerning the alternative of theism and atheism as allegedly having the	
atheistic solution	341
Remarks on the controversy between atheism and theism continued.	
The issue of the Law of Excluded Middle. Conclusion	343
Analysis of ‘believe in’ as involving the idea of the Omniscient Being	347
VII. Selected Theological Issues	351
God and the schema of the basic structure of the Reality	351
God and the multiplicity / proportion requirement	355
Substantiation of the multiplicity of God’s patients continued	356
The necessary multiplicity of universes; God’s creativity	360
The problem of the Trinity. The Pythagorean τετρακτὸς	362
Immortality of mortals	367
Theism as a “way of paradox”	369
A comment on alternatives to theism	371
The problem of “theodicy”	376
VIII. Language	381
Introduction	381
“Mapping” as the essence of language	383
The role of knowledge in linguistic epistemic mapping	386
In search for sufficient conditions for linguistic mapping	389
Ascriptions and propositional functions as epistemic objects	390
A note on certain conjectures concerning the distinctive features of language	393
Representation of ignorance	396
Additional remarks on how ignorance is represented	399

The essence of language and differentiation of expressions	401
Naturalistic and non-naturalistic, or supernaturalistic, interpretations of language.	
Introduction	402
The problem of emergence of language	405
Creationism: introduction	407
Creationism: lack of a natural sufficient condition of language	410
Creationism: lack of a natural sufficient condition of language, continued	413
Creationism: supplementary remarks	416
A caveat on compositionality	418
IX. The Concept ‘say that’	423
Introduction	423
‘Saying that’ and cognate structures: preliminaries	427
‘Saying: _’ in its contradistinction to ‘saying that’	429
More on reports of non-declarative utterances; ‘letting know’	430
The nature of ‘say that’: general statements	433
Objectivity of situations qualifying for the status of ‘saying that’	437
Notes on objectivity of situations qualifying for the status of ‘saying that’ continued:	
referents of ‘say that’, or <i>about</i> -objects in <i>say (about) ... that ...</i>	439
The definition of ‘say that’ [(DIXIT)]	444
Substantive comments on the definition of ‘say that’	447
An auxiliary gloss on the definition of ‘say that’	451
Why is it necessary to accept the existence of the separate and irreducible functors	
of ‘saying’: the functors ‘say that’ and ‘say: _’? Preliminaries	452
Absence of an operation transforming the quotative functor into ‘say that’.	
Inadmissibility of <i>say</i> as a semantic primitive	454
Absence of an operation transforming ‘say that’ into the quotative functor	455
Residual comments on possible operations affecting <i>say</i>	
and on non-primitiveness of <i>say</i>	459
Central consequences of (DIXIT). Prospects of a substantiation of the definition ...	460
The first central consequence of (DIXIT): “(a said that p) \rightarrow (p \vee \sim p)”	461
The second central consequence of (DIXIT): “no saying without another saying” ...	464
The central kind of metautterances; saying that <i>p</i> as a consequence	
of one’s saying that one says that <i>p</i>	467
‘Saying that’ and ‘doing’	469
‘Saying that’ and the level of informedness of the interlocutors. General facts	473
‘Saying that’ and the level of informedness of the interlocutors. Addressee’s	
knowledge or ignorance of the truth / falsity of the ascriptions used	478
‘Saying that’ and addressee’s possible antecedent knowledge	480
The problem of so called “inner speech”, or soliloquy. Introduction	483
A note on misconceptions concerning “inner speech” and their source	487
Problematic aspects of the concept of “inner speech”	489
A positive general interpretation of “inner speech”	494
The main expressions representing varieties of “inner speech”	497
Residual remarks on the main kinds of “inner speech”	500
Other selected expressions representing varieties of “inner speech”	502

“Inner speech”: conclusion	505
X. Language, Logic, Valuation. Preliminaries	507
Introduction	507
Relativism and absolutism	509
Aspects of valuation to be considered	512
XI. Moral valuation	514
The main concepts relevant to morality	514
The concept ‘want’	517
The object of necessary universal disapproval	520
Prosoponomy	523
Prosoponomy and morality at large	526
On a certain kind of logically motivated, i.e. universal, evaluative hierarchy in morality	529
More on logical aspects of moral choices	534
Closing remarks on Kant	537
On the proper ontological treatment of moral valuation	540
A remark on the origin of morality	541
A note on the concepts ‘good’, ‘bad’	543
A summarising statement	546
XII. Aesthetic valuation	547
Preliminaries	547
Features targeted in aesthetic judgements: introduction	550
Features targeted in aesthetic judgements: continued	552
Ontological basis of aesthetic valuation: the key role of negentropy	554
Relationship between the ontological basis of aesthetic phenomena and contingent circumstances	557
Aesthetic valuation and the pleasant	560
Aesthetic valuation and the good	562
More on the interface between moral / practical and aesthetic valuation	566
The problem of mutual embeddings of aesthetic and non-aesthetic values	569
Relativistic aspects of aesthetic valuation	572
XIII. Knowledge in Valuation. Valuation of Knowledge	576
Evaluative knowledge and its relation to knowledge as an epistemic object	576
Aspects of immunity of knowledge to evaluation: linguistic clues	578
Valuation of knowledge and non-factive propositional attitudes	581
Reservations	583
Concluding remarks	584
Bibliography	589
Appendix. The author’s publications relevant to the scope of the book	601
Name Index	608